
Subject: Re: [patch -mm 08/17] nsproxy: add hashtable
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 13:17:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> Let me try : you would add a 'struct pid pid' field to all namespaces and
>> assign that 'pid' field with the struct pid of the task creating the
>> namespace ?
>
> Yes a struct pid *pid field, that we did the proper reference counting
> on.

sure.

> As for which pid to assign, that is a little trickier. The struct pid
> of the task creating the namespace is the obvious choice and that will
> always work for clone. For unshare that would only work if we added
> the restriction you can't unshare if someone already has used that pid
> for that kind of namespace.

clone will also be an issue if more than one namespace is unshared. Do
you use the same 'struct pid*' for each namespace ? hmm, it feels also
wrong.

having an id field in the namespace and using a bind_ns like syscall
to let the user assign whatever id he wants to, doesn't seem to be
such a bad idea.

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
