
Subject: Re: [RFC] L3 network isolation : broadcast
Posted by [Vlad Yasevich](#) on Wed, 13 Dec 2006 21:41:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Daniel Lezcano wrote:

```
> Hi all,
>
> I am trying to find a solution to handle the broadcast traffic on the l3
> namespace.
>
> The broadcast issue comes from the l2 isolation:
>
> in udp.c
>
> static inline struct sock *udp_v4_mcast_next(struct sock *sk,
>   __be16 loc_port,
>   __be32 loc_addr,
>   __be16 rmt_port,
>   __be32 rmt_addr,
>   int dif)
> {
>   struct hlist_node *node;
>   struct sock *s = sk;
>   struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
>   unsigned short hnum = ntohs(loc_port);
>
>   sk_for_each_from(s, node) {
>     struct inet_sock *inet = inet_sk(s);
>
>     if (inet->num != hnum ||
>         (inet->daddr && inet->daddr != rmt_addr) ||
>         (inet->dport != rmt_port && inet->dport) ||
>         (inet->rcv_saddr && inet->rcv_saddr != loc_addr) ||
>         ipv6_only_sock(s) ||
>         !net_ns_match(sk->sk_net_ns, ns) ||
>         (s->sk_bound_dev_if && s->sk_bound_dev_if != dif))
>       continue;
>     if (!ip_mc_sf_allow(s, loc_addr, rmt_addr, dif))
>       continue;
>     goto found;
>   }
>   s = NULL;
> found:
>   return s;
> }
```

> This is absolutely correct for l2 namespaces because they share the
> socket hash table. But that is not correct for l3 namespaces because we

> want to deliver the packet to each l3 namespaces which have binded to
> the broadcast address, so we should avoid checking net_ns_match if we
> are in a layer 3 namespace. Doing that we will break the l2 isolation
> because an another l2 namespace could have binded to the same broadcast
> address.

A question, if you will... I am still digesting the l2 changes, and I can't remember/find if the broadcasts will be replicated across multiple l2 or not.

Example:

A system has 2 interfaces eth0 and eth1 connected to the same lan/link. Each NIC was isolated to it's own L2 space. Each L2 space configures the its nic with unique IP but in the same subnet. Will both L2s receive a subnet broadcast packet?

If yes, then below approach will work. If no, then we'll need something else since both L2s should get the packet in their own right.

>
> The solution I see here is:
>
> if namespace is l3 then;
> net_ns match any net_ns registered as listening on this address
> else
> net_ns_match
> fi
>
> The registered network namespace is a list shared between brothers l3
> namespaces. This will add more overhead for sure. Does anyone have
> comments on that or perhaps a better solution ?

-vlad

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
