

---

Subject: Re: [patch -mm 08/17] nsproxy: add hashtable  
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 07:52:14 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Cedric Le Goater <[clg@fr.ibm.com](mailto:clg@fr.ibm.com)> writes:

> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:  
>> Well, assuming that we're using pids as identifiers, that means  
>  
> we can't because a process could die while the namespace is still  
> referenced by an other subsystem. We need some kind of id.

Think of a session think of a process group heck think of threads  
a pid is not tied to one task struct. It is absolutely not a problem  
for a namespace to do `get_pid(...)` when it is initialized and `put_pid(...)`  
just before it is freed.

All of the mechanisms for using pids for something like this are already  
in place.

What we don't have is a fast pid to namespace transfer. But that is just  
an extra pointer in struct pid. Really that is a trivial patch.  
Giving every namespace a pid pointer in struct pid takes a little more  
space than I would like but it is not a big deal.

Eric

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.osdl.org](mailto:Containers@lists.osdl.org)  
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---