
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/1] Revert "[PATCH] identifier to nsproxy"
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Tue, 12 Dec 2006 03:51:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Daniel Lezcano <daniel.lezcano@free.fr> writes:

>>
>> I agree with that and that is a worthy discussion.
>>
>> One of the reasons I'm not too concerned is that sys_ptrace completely
>> solves that problem today. The syscall interface completely sucks for
>> handling that case but it works.
>>
>> The one instance where we clearly need a way to talk about namespaces
>> besides enter is for moving network interfaces between network
>> namespaces and I haven't looked close yet but I don't think either
>> Dmitry or Daniel in their network namespace patches was using this id.
>>
>
> Well, I don't do that for the moment, but I was planning to use the namespace
> id.

To be very clear.

- I completely agree we need an identifier for namespaces.
So far my vision is one per namespace not one per nsproxy.
- I believe the identifier should be in one of the namespaces,
so we don't have problems with recursion.

I want to be able to use things like the pam_namespace module in a guest.

My suggestion is that we name our process groups in the traditional pid namespace.

Then we can have a name for each process group in each namespace.

For debugging I think this could be quite helpful if someone gets their reference counting wrong.

Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
