

---

Subject: Re: [patch -mm 09/17] nsproxy: add namespace flags  
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Mon, 11 Dec 2006 15:27:16 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> writes:

>  
>>>> /\*  
>>>> + \* namespaces flags  
>>>> + \*/  
>>>> +#define NS\_MNT 0x00000001  
>>>> +#define NS\_UTS 0x00000002  
>>>> +#define NS\_IPC 0x00000004  
>>>> +#define NS\_PID 0x00000008  
>>>> +#define NS\_NET 0x00000010  
>>>> +#define NS\_USER 0x00000020  
>>>> +#define NS\_ALL (NS\_MNT|NS\_UTS|NS\_IPC|NS\_PID|NS\_NET|NS\_USER)  
>> hmm, why \_another\_ set of flags to refer to the  
>> namespaces?  
>> well, because namespaces are a new kind in the kernel  
>  
> Gratuitous incompatibility.

?

>>> is the clone()/unshare() set of flags not sufficient  
>>> for that?  
>> because we are reaching the limits of the CLONE\_ flags.  
>  
> Not really. There are at least 8 bits that clone cannot use  
> but that unshare can.

please, could you list them ?

>>> if so, shouldn't we switch (or even better change?  
>>> the unshare() too) to a new set of syscalls?  
>> unshare\_ns() is a new syscall and we don't really need a  
>> clone anyway. nop ?  
>  
> Huh? Clone should be the primary. There are certain namespaces  
> that it are very hard to unshare, without creating a new process.

You just said above that clone had less available flags than  
unshare ...

anyway, could you elaborate a bit more ? I have the opposite  
feeling and you gave me that impression also a few month ago.

No problem for me, i just want a way to use this stuff without

```
>>> we should think twice before we create just another
>>> set of flags, and if we do so, please let us change
>>> them all, including certain clone flags (and add a
>>> single compatibility wrapper for the 'old' syscalls)
>> so you would keep the unshare as is but change the set
>> of flags its using, making sure the old ones are still
>> compatible with the new ones.
```

```
>>
>> something like this :
>>
>> int sys_unshare(int unshare_flags)
>> {
>>     int unshare_ns_flags;
>>
>>     unshare_ns_flags = convert_flags(unshare_flags);
>>
>>     return sys_unshare_ns(unshare_ns_flags);
>> }
>>
>> ?
>
> If necessary.
```

ok good. will check it out.

C.

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.osdl.org  
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---