Subject: Re: Re: Network virtualization/isolation Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 06:35:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Fri, Dec 08, 2006 at 10:13:48PM -0800, Andrew Morton wrote:
> On Sat, 9 Dec 2006 04:50:02 +0100
> Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> wrote:
>> On Fri, Dec 08, 2006 at 12:57:49PM -0700, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
>>> Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> writes:
>>>
>>> But, ok, it is not the real point to argue so much imho
>>> and waste our time instead of doing things.
> >
>>> well, IMHO better talk (and think) first, then implement
>>> something ... not the other way round, and then start
>>> fixing up the mess ...
>>> Well we need a bit of both.
> > hmm, are 'we' in a hurry here?
>> until recently, 'Linux' (mainline) didn't even want
> > to hear about OS Level virtualization, now there
> > is a rush to quickly get 'something' in, not knowing
> > or caring if it is usable at all?
> It's actually happening guite gradually and carefully.
hmm, I must have missed a testing phase for the
IPC namespace then, not that I think it is broken
(well, maybe it is, we do not know yet)
> > I think there are a lot of 'potential users' for
> > this kind of virtualization, and so 'we' can test
> > almost all aspects outside of mainline, and once
> > we know the stuff works as expected, then we can
> > integrate it ...
> > the UTS namespace was something 'we all' had already
> > implemented in this (or a very similar) way, and in
> > one or two interations, it should actually work as
> > expected. nevertheless, it was one of the simplest
> > spaces ...
> > we do not yet know the details for the IPC namespace,
> > as IPC is not that easy to check as UTS, and 'we'
> > haven't gotten real world feedback on that yet ...
```

>

- > We are very dependent upon all stakeholders including yourself
- > to review, test and comment upon this infrastructure as it is
- > proposed and merged. If something is proposed which will not
- > suit your requirements then it is important that we hear about
- > it, in detail, at the earliest possible time.

okay, good to hear that I'm still considered a stakeholder

will try to focus the feedback and cc as many folks as possible, as it seems that some feedback is lost on the way upstream ...

best, Herbert

> Thanks.

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.osdl.org https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers