
Subject: Re: Re: Network virtualization/isolation
Posted by [Andrew Morton](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 06:13:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sat, 9 Dec 2006 04:50:02 +0100
Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> wrote:

> On Fri, Dec 08, 2006 at 12:57:49PM -0700, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> > Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> writes:
> >
> > >> But, ok, it is not the real point to argue so much imho
> > >> and waste our time instead of doing things.
>
> > > well, IMHO better talk (and think) first, then implement
> > > something ... not the other way round, and then start
> > > fixing up the mess ...
> >
> > Well we need a bit of both.
>
> hmm, are 'we' in a hurry here?
>
> until recently, 'Linux' (mainline) didn't even want
> to hear about OS Level virtualization, now there
> is a rush to quickly get 'something' in, not knowing
> or caring if it is usable at all?

It's actually happening quite gradually and carefully.

> I think there are a lot of 'potential users' for
> this kind of virtualization, and so 'we' can test
> almost all aspects outside of mainline, and once
> we know the stuff works as expected, then we can
> integrate it ...
>
> the UTS namespace was something 'we all' had already
> implemented in this (or a very similar) way, and in
> one or two iterations, it should actually work as
> expected. nevertheless, it was one of the simplest
> spaces ...
>
> we do not yet know the details for the IPC namespace,
> as IPC is not that easy to check as UTS, and 'we'
> haven't gotten real world feedback on that yet ...

We are very dependent upon all stakeholders including yourself to review, test and comment upon this infrastructure as it is proposed and merged. If something is proposed which will not suit your requirements then it is important that we hear about it, in detail, at the earliest possible time.

Thanks.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.osdl.org

<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
