Subject: Re: FS 'namespace'
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 16:41:15 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, Dec 08, 2006 at 08:40:59AM -0600, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

- > Quoting Herbert Poetzl (herbert@13thfloor.at):
- > >
- > > just a question: why do we keep the fs (struct\_fs)
- > > outside of nsproxy?

>

- > Good question. So we have a mounts namespace, and you
- > would consider the per-process fs root to be an fs
- > namespace? Practically, it would mean that chroot
- > and pivot\_mount would create a new nsproxy, but i guess
- > that's not a real problem.

>

- > It might force us to stop our current lazy checks for
- > 'current->nsproxy==&init\_nsproxy', since the pivot\_mount
- > in early boot would make that not true.

well, IMHO those are broken anyway, I can imagine a number of applications using private namespaces (the old ones) without running in 'containers'

best, Herbert

> -serge

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.osdl.org https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers