

---

Subject: Re: [patch -mm 08/17] nsproxy: add hashtable

Posted by [serue](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 19:53:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):

> clg@fr.ibm.com writes:

>

> > From: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>

> >

> > This patch adds a hashtable of nsproxy using the nsproxy as a key.

> > init\_nsproxy is hashed at init with key 0. This is considered to be

> > the 'host' nsproxy.

>

> NAK. Which namespace do these ids live in?

>

> It sounds like you are setting up to make the 'host' nsproxy special

> and have special rules. That also sounds wrong.

>

> Even letting the concept of nsproxy escape to user space sounds wrong.

> nsproxy is an internal space optimization. It's not struct container

> and I don't think we want it to become that.

>

> Eric

So would you advocate referring to containers just by the pid of a process containing the nsproxy, and letting userspace maintain a mapping of id's to containers through container create/enter commands? Or is there some other way you were thinking of doing this?

thanks,

-serge

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.osdl.org](mailto:Containers@lists.osdl.org)

<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---