Subject: Re: [patch -mm 10/17] nsproxy: add unshare\_ns and bind\_ns syscalls Posted by ebjederm on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 19:26:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

clg@fr.ibm.com writes:

- > From: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>
- >
- > The following patch defines 2 new syscalls specific to nsproxy and
- > namespaces :

>

> \* unshare ns:

>

- > enables a process to unshare one or more namespaces. this
- > duplicates the unshare syscall for the moment but we
- > expect to diverge when the number of namespaces increases

Are we out of clone flags yet? If not this is premature.

> \* bind\_ns:

>

- > allows a process to bind
- > 1 its nsproxy to some identifier
- > 2 to another nsproxy using an identifier or -pid

## NAK

Don't use global identifiers. Use pids. i.e. struct pid \* for your identifiers. Is there is a reason pids are unsuitable?

I'm also worried about the security implications of switching namespaces on a process. That is something that needs to be looked at very closely.

These two changes certainly don't belong in a single patch, and they certainly use a bit more explanation. syscalls are not something to add lightly. Because they must be supported forever.

Eric

\_\_\_\_\_

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.osdl.org https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers