Subject: Re: FS 'namespace'
Posted by serue on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 17:19:06 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quoting Herbert Poetzl (herbert@13thfloor.at):

- > On Fri, Dec 08, 2006 at 08:40:59AM -0600, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
- > > Quoting Herbert Poetzl (herbert@13thfloor.at):
- >>>
- >> just a question: why do we keep the fs (struct_fs)
- >> > outside of nsproxy?
- > >
- >> Good guestion. So we have a mounts namespace, and you
- >> would consider the per-process fs root to be an fs
- > > namespace? Practically, it would mean that chroot
- > > and pivot_mount would create a new nsproxy, but i guess
- > > that's not a real problem.
- > >
- > > It might force us to stop our current lazy checks for
- > > 'current->nsproxy==&init_nsproxy', since the pivot_mount
- > > in early boot would make that not true.

>

> well, IMHO those are broken anyway, I can imagine

Yeah I wasn't defending them by calling them lazy :)

- > a number of applications using private namespaces
- > (the old ones) without running in 'containers'

Do you have a patch to move the fs_struct into nsproxy? I'd be interested in running some benchmarks with and without such a patch to see the effect of dereferencing the nsproxy so frequently.

-serge

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org

https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers