Subject: Re: L3 network isolation Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Thu, 07 Dec 2006 19:43:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message On Thu, Dec 07, 2006 at 12:25:45AM +0100, Daniel Lezcano wrote: > Hi all, > > Dmitry and I, we thought about a possible implementation allowing the > I2/I3 to coexists. > > The idea is assuming the I3 network namespaces are the leaf in the I2 > namespace hierarchy tree. By default, init process is I2 namespace. From > a layer 3, it is impossible to do a new network namespace unshare. > > All the configuration is done into the I2 namespace. When a I3 is > created a new IP address should be created into the I2 namespace and > "pushed" into the I3. When the I3 dies, the IP is pulled to its parent, > aka the I2. In order to ensure security into the I3, the NET ADMIN > capability is lost when doing unsharing for I3. > There is no extra code for socket virtualization. It is a common part. > How to setup a I3 namespace? > -----1 - setup a new IP address in I2 namespace 2 - create a l3 namespace > 3 - specific socket ioctl to "push" the IP address from the I2 > namespace to the newly created I3 namespace > > The I2 lose visibility on the IP address and I3 gains visibility on > the IP address. why that? I consider visibility of the IP addresses on the host (what you call I2 space) a feature ... > A ifconfig or a ip command shows only the IP address > assigned to the namespace. that is okay though ... > Loopback address is always visible. is it also bindable? > How to handle outgoing traffic?

>

> The bind must be checked with the IP addresses belonging to the I3 > namespace and with all the derivative addresses (multicast, broadcast, > zero net, loopback, ...). > The IP addresses will rely on aliased IP address. hmm? please elaborate ... > The source address must be filled with the IP address belonging the I3 > namespace when not set. This is a trivial operation, because we know > which IP addresses are assigned to the I3 namespace. > When the route are resolved, the I3 namespace switch the its parent, > that is to say the I2 namespace, and the virtualization follows its > normal path. > > How to handle incoming traffic? > Because we can have several sockets listening on the same > INADDR_ANY:port, we must find the network namespace associated > with the destination IP address. > For unicast, this is a trivial operation, because that can be checked > with the assigned IP address again. For broadcast and multicast, some > extra work should be done in order to store the namespaces which are > listening on a broadcast address. As soon as the namespace is found, we > switch to it. This can be done with netfilters. okay ... > Routes and co. > ------ Routes: they are not isolated, each I3 namespace can see all the > routes from the other namespaces. That allows the routing engine to see > all the routes and choose the loopback when two network namespaces in > the same host try to communicate. > - Cache: the routing cache must be isolated, otherwise the socket > isolation will not work. The I3 namespace code does not impact the I2 > namespace code and route cache isolation is a common part if the I3 > namespace switching is done in the right place. > Dmitry has posted the I2 namespace relying on the net namespace empty > framework, I will post the I3 namespace relying on the I2 namespace

looking forward to it ...

> today or tomorrow.

best, Herbert

> -- Daniel

>

>_____

- > Containers mailing list
- > Containers@lists.osdl.org
- > https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org

https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers