
Subject: Re: Network virtualization/isolation

Posted by [Herbert Poetzl](#) on Wed, 29 Nov 2006 05:58:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, Nov 28, 2006 at 09:26:52PM +0100, Daniel Lezcano wrote:

> Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> > I do not want to get into a big debate on the merits of various

> > techniques at this time. We seem to be in basic agreement

> > about what we are talking about.

> >

> > There is one thing I think we can all agree upon.

> > - Everything except isolation at the network device/L2 layer, does not

> > allow guests to have the full power of the linux networking stack.

> Agree.

> >

> > - There has been a demonstrated use for the full power of the linux

> > networking stack in containers..

> Agree.

> >

> > - There are a set of techniques which look as though they will give

> > us full speed when we do isolation of the network stack at the

> > network device/L2 layer.

> Agree.

>

> > Is there any reason why we don't want to implement network namespaces

> > without the full power of the linux network stack?

> Don't make me wrong, I never said layer 2 should not be used. I am only

> arguing a layer 3 should use the mechanism provided by the layer 2 and

> use a subset of it like the sockets virtualization/isolation.

>

> Just IP isolation for lightweight containers, applications containers in

> order to have mobility.

>

> > If there is a case where we clearly don't want the full power of the

> > linux network stack in a guest but we still need a namespace we can

> > start looking at the merits of the alternatives.

> Dmitry and I, we are looking for a I3 based on a subset of the I2 and

> according with Herbert needs.

> If we can provide a I3 isolation based on the I2 which:

> - does not collide with I2

> - fit the needs of Herbert

> - allows the migration

> - use common code between I2 and I3

> Should it not be sufficient to justify to have a I3 with the I2

> isolation?

sounds good to me ...

> >> What is this new paradigm you are talking about ?
> >
> > The basic point is this. The less like stock linux the inside of a
> > container looks, and the more of a special case it is the more
> > confusing it is. The classic example is that for a system container
> > routing packets between containers over the loopback interface is
> > completely unexpected.
>
> Right for system container, but not necessary for application containers.

yep

best,
Herbert

> >> There is not extra networking data structure instantiation in the
> >> Daniel's L3.
> > Nope just an extra field which serves the same purpose.
> >
> >>> - Bind/Connect/Accept filtering. There are so few places in
> >>> the code this is easy to maintain without sharing code with
> >>> everyone else.
> >> For isolation too ? Can we build network migration on top of that ?
>
> > As long as you can take your globally visible network address with you
> > when you migrate you can build network migration on top of it. So yes
> > bind/accept filtering is sufficient to implement migration, if you are
> > only using IP based protocols.
>
> When you migrate an application, you must cleanup related sockets on the
> source machine. The cleanup can not rely on the IP addresses because you
> will be not able to discriminate all the sockets related to the
> container. Another stuff is the network objects life-cycle, the
> container will die when the application will finish, the timewait
> sockets will stay until all data are flushed to peer. You can not
> restart a new container with the same IP address, so you need to monitor
> the socket before relaunching a new container or unmounting the aliased
> interface associated with the container. You need a ref counting for the
> container and this refcount is exactly what has the network namespace.
> Another example, you can not have several application binding to
> INADDR_ANY:port without conflict. The multiport instantiation is exactly
> what brings the sockets isolation/virtualization with the I2/I3.
>
> _____
> Containers mailing list
> Containers@lists.osdl.org
> <https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
