Subject: Re: [RFC] [PATCH 0/4] uid_ns: introduction Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Wed, 08 Nov 2006 00:52:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mon, Nov 06, 2006 at 10:18:14PM -0600, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

- > Cedric has previously sent out a patchset
- > (http://lists.osdl.org/pipermail/containers/2006-August/000078.html)
- > impplementing the very basics of a user namespace. It ignores
- > filesystem access checks, so that uid 502 in one namespace could
- > access files belonging to uid 502 in another namespace, if the
- > containers were so set up.

>

- > This isn't necessarily bad, since proper container setup should
- > prevent problems. However there has been concern, so here is a
- > patchset which takes one course in addressing the concern.

>

- > It adds a user namespace pointer to every superblock, and to
- > enhances fsuid equivalence checks with a (inode->i_sb->s_uid_ns ==
- > current->nsproxy->uid_ns) comparison.

I don't consider that a good idea as it means that a filesystem (or to be precise, a superblock) can only belong to one specific namespace, which is not very useful for shared setups

Linux-VServer provides a mechanism to do per inode (and per nfs mount) tagging for similar 'security' and more important for disk space accounting and limiting, which permits to have different disk limits, quota and access on a shared partition

i.e. I do not like it

best, Herbert

> I've tested this as follows:

>

- > Created a bare-minimum loopback filesystem which has su, ps, touch,
- > and sh and requisites (like /etc/pam.d). Under that, created a user
- > hallyn with the same uid as user hallyn on the root filesystem.
- > Under both /home/hallyn and /mnt/0/home/hallyn (/home/hallyn on the
- > loopbackfs) created a directory 'priv' with 0700 perms.

>

- > unsharens -U /bin/sh
- > su hallyn
- > Is /home/hallyn/priv
- > (permission denied)
- > mount -o loop /usr/src/disk.img /mnt/0
- > mount -t proc none /mnt/0/proc

```
> mount -t devpts none /mnt/0/dev/pts
> chroot /mnt/0
> su hallyn
> Is /home/hallyn/priv
> ab
> And, finally, of course
> mount -o loop /usr/src/disk.img /mnt/0
> mount -t proc none /mnt/0/proc
> mount -t devpts none /mnt/0/dev/pts
> unsharens -U /bin/sh
> chroot /mnt/0
> su hallyn
> Is /home/hallyn/priv
> (permission denied)
> This is only a rough prototype to start some discussion. i.e. I
> ignore groups, so kernel/sys.c:in_group_p() for instance will need to be
> updated.
> A few issues to be discussed:
> 1. I am not doing anything about root access. There are several ways we
> can address this.
>
> a. implement CAP_NS_OVERRIDE, without which cross-ns access is
> not allowed
> b. just don't allow any cross-ns access at all
> c. a more complicated scheme where root process in parent and child
> namespaces can access each other until somehow the
  parent-ns cuts off the child's access.
>
>
> 2. This patch takes the easy route of adding user_ns pointers to the
> superblock. It would be very nice to add it to the vfsmount instead, so
> that admins could simply mount --bind into various namespaces, rather
> than having to use completely separate filesystems. However several
> fsuid equivalence checks happen with only an inode available. The
> hardest to address so far appear to be fs/namei.c:generic permission as
> called from, say nfs, fs/generic acl.c:generic acl set, and
> fs/attr.c:inode change ok called from iffs2.
> Still, putting the user in the superblock and forcing the use
> of separate filesystems (i.e. through a lightweight stackable
> read-only filesystem) isn't *so* bad, is it?
> thanks,
> -serge
```

>						

- > Containers mailing list > Containers@lists.osdl.org > https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.osdl.org https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers