Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 1/2] add user namespace [try #2] Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Sat, 16 Sep 2006 14:19:02 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Sat, Sep 16, 2006 at 04:09:31PM +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:
>>>>Plus what other namespaces are on the todo list?
>>>We have network, and pid, and time.
> >>
> >> I think more.
> >>
>>>proc-ns,
>>sysfs-ns,
> >>printk-ns or syslog-ns?: syslog should be virtualized
> >>and more...
> >
> >
> > I don't think those meet the criteria for namespaces.
> > But certainly there is work we need to do there.
> Well, it is hard to say what is the criteria...
>>semi-namespaces:
>>>fs-ns (should regulate which filesystems are accessiable from container, but
>>>probably this is not exact name space... need to think over...),
>> I think the problem there is the same as allowing untrusted users the ability
> > to mount filesystems, in which case we just tag filesystems that are safe
> > for untrusted users to use.
> You need some groupping mechanisms, don't you?
> Say, I need to allow isofs for containers 1,2,5,6
> and ext3 for containers 2,3,4,5
> >>dev-ns (should regulate which devices are accessiable from container)
>> Yes. Devices certainly have global names that we need to bring under
> > control. The easy solution is just to limit CAP_SYS_MKNOD but we
> > may need something more.
> CAP_SYS_MKNOD is not an option.
removing that is sufficient for Linux-VServer as is
but we have some plans for a better solution, in the
future ...
> Can you please propose how to organize it?
>
> You can check how it is implemented in OpenVZ in kernel/vecalls.c
> devperms_struct
> real get device perms ve()
> real setdevperms()
```

>

- > BTW, taking a look near this code, I found another bunch of
- > interesting functionality statistics (e.g. real_update_load_avg_ve).

>

- > Though load avg statistics logically belong to pspace namespace there
- > is a lot of other stats which can not be associated so easily with the
- > namespaces.

most of them can be combined with the accounting or limit namespace IMHO, at least that is true for Linux-VServer

but don't get me wrong, I think we need a lot more different namespaces in the future, very similar to the cap requirements, which should get a lot more fine grained than they are right now ...

best, Herbert

- > > One of the pieces that needs consideration when it comes to
- > > permissions is the plan9 style of permission control. Where file
- > > have an initial owner, and if someone else needs access to them
- > > you chmod, chown them so that everyone who needs to has access. I
- > > think that is an simpler model to get right than to have a bunch of
- > > special cases.
- > it is Linux:)

>

- > Thanks,
- > Kirill

> _____

- > Containers mailing list
- > Containers@lists.osdl.org
- > https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.osdl.org

https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers