Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces

Posted by ebiederm on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 03:28:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dmitry Mishin <dim@openvz.org> writes:

- > On Monday 11 September 2006 18:57, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
- >> I completely agree here, we need a separate namespace
- >> for that, so that we can combine isolation and virtualization
- >> as needed, unless the bind restrictions can be completely
- >> expressed with an additional mangle or filter table (as
- >> was suggested)

>

- > iptables are designed for packet flow decisions and filtering, it has nothing
- > common with bind restrictions. So, it may be only packet flow
- > scheduling/filtering, but it will not help to resolve bind-time IP conflicts.

Please read the archive, where the suggestion was made.

What was suggested was a new table, with it's own set of chains. So we could make filtering decisions on where sockets could be bound.

That is not a far stretch from where iptables is today.

Do you have some concrete arguments against the proposal?

Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org

https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers