Subject: Re: [patch -mm] update mq_notify to use a struct pid Posted by ebiederm on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 01:22:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> writes:

```
> Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> Cedric you mentioned a couple of other patches that are in flight.
> In the future could you please Cc: the containers list so independent
> efforts are less likely to duplicate work, and we are more likely
> to review each others patches instead?
> yes sure, i was relying on the openvz wiki to avoid duplicated efforts on
> this topic but i guess email is just the one and only tool for this kind of
> development:)
```

Sure. Especially when it comes to helping review each others code:) Not duplicating work is not really my goal, not submitting a patch after a patch has been reviewed and accepted is.

Plus we need patch review.

Several people working on a patch in parallel if it is difficult can frequently find a solution that a single person would miss.

```
>>>> Filling in a struct pid through sysctl is extremely ugly at the
>>>> moment, plus cad_pid needs some locking.
>>> Which distros use /proc/sys/kernel/cad_pid and why? I can image the need
>>> but i didn't find much on the topic.
>>
>> I'm not at all certain, and I'm not even certain I care. The concept
>> is there in the code so it needs to be dealt with.
>>
> OK. It would be nice to make sure this is still in use before trying to
> deal with /proc/sys/kernel/cad_pid.
>>
> Although if I we extend the cad_pid concept it may make a difference.
> what do you mean by extending cad_pid? kill_init()?
```

My meaning was every time we are sending a signal to init. It is quite possible we should be using cad_pid instead.

>>> is that about updating the siginfos in collect_signal() to hold the right >>> pid value depending on the pid namespace they are being received ? >> >> Yes in send signal, and in collect signal. To make it work easily I needed

>> res in send_signal, and in collect signal. To make it work easily r needed

- >> to add a struct pid to struct sigqueue. So in send_signal I generate
- >> the struct pid from the pid t value and in collect signal I regenerate
- >> the numeric value.

>

- > OK. That's what i imagined also but we need a bit more of the pid namespace
- > to regenerate the numerical value. So, how will you convert this 'struct
- > pid*' in a pid value using the current pid namespace?

By calling pid nr:) The guestion I guess is how will pid nr be implemented.

> thinking aloud:

>

- > * if the pid namespace of the sending struct pid and current match,
- > use nr.
- > * if they don't,
- > if the sending pid namespace is the ancestor of the current pid
- > namespace
- > use 0
- > else
- > it's a bug.

>

- > struct pid* needs a pid namespace attribute and pid namespace needs to know
- > its parent.

Yes, that sounds correct.

There is also the case that should not come up with signals where we have a pid from a child namespace, that we should also be able to compute the pid for.

In essence I intend to have a list of pid_namespace, pid_t pairs connected to a struct pid that we can look through to find the appropriate pid.

Eric

Containers mailing list

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers