
Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces

Posted by [Herbert Poetzl](#) on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:19:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sat, Sep 09, 2006 at 09:41:35PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> writes:

>

> > On Sat, Sep 09, 2006 at 11:57:24AM +0400, Dmitry Mishin wrote:

> >> On Friday 08 September 2006 22:11, Herbert Poetzl wrote:

> >> > actually the light-weight ip isolation runs perfectly

> >> > fine _without_ CAP_NET_ADMIN, as you do not want the

> >> > guest to be able to mess with the 'configured' ips at

> >> > all (not to speak of interfaces here)

> >

> >> It was only an example. I'm thinking about how to implement flexible

> >> solution, which permits light-weight ip isolation as well as

> >> full-fledged network virtualization. Another solution is to split

> >> CONFIG_NET_NAMESPACE. Is it good for you?

> >

> > well, I think it would be best to have both, as

> > they are complementary to some degree, and IMHO

> > both, the full virtualization _and_ the isolation

> > will require a separate namespace to work, I also

> > think that limiting the isolation to something

> > very simple (like one IP + network or so) would

> > be acceptable for a start, because especially

> > multi IP or network range checks require a little

> > more effort to get them right ...

> >

> > I do not think that folks would want to recompile

> > their kernel just to get a light-weight guest or

> > a fully virtualized one

>

> I certainly agree that we are not at a point where a final decision

> can be made. A major piece of that is that a layer 2 approach has

> not shown to be without a performance penalty.

>

> A practical question. Do the IPs assigned to guests ever get used

> by anything besides the guest?

only in special setups and for testing routing and
general operation of course, i.e. one typical
failure scenario is this:

- 'provider' has a bunch of ips assigned
- 'host' ip works perfectly
- 'guest' ip is not routed (by the external router)

in this case, for example, I always suggest to test on the host with a guest ip, simplest example:

```
ping -I <guest-ip> google.com
```

but for 'normal' operation, the guest ip is reserved for the guests, unless some service like named is shared between guests ...

HTH,
Herbert

> Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
