

---

Subject: Re: pspace name

Posted by [dev](#) on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 16:16:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> Quoting Kirill Korotaev (dev@sw.ru):

>

>>Cedric Le Goater wrote:

>>

>>>all,

>>>

>>>'pspace' sounds wrong when you know about the other namespaces :

>>>

>>>struct nsproxy {

>>> atomic\_t count;

>>> spinlock\_t nslock;

>>> struct uts\_namespace \*uts\_ns;

>>> struct ipc\_namespace \*ipc\_ns;

>>> struct user\_namespace \*user\_ns;

>>> struct namespace \*namespace;

>>>};

>>>

>>>'proc\_namespace' might be confusing, what about 'task\_namespace' ?

>>

>>yes, I also wanted to point to this, but probably missed in a hurry.

>>task\_ns/task\_namespace looks fine, doesn't it?

>

>

> I still think pid\_ns is more correct, but task\_ns sounds nicer and is

> at any rate unambiguous.

I'm pretty sure the further we go the more things it will incorporate  
(not related to pids : ) ).

>>>'namespace' should probably be renamed to something like 'mnt\_namespace' ?

>>

>>struct: mnt\_namespace

>>fields: mnt\_ns

>>

>>is the patch below ok for you?

>

>

> Based on a cursory glance, looks good to me.

thanks!

Kirill

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.osdl.org](mailto:Containers@lists.osdl.org)

