
Subject: Re: max number of VE
Posted by [yahbluez](#) on Wed, 29 Aug 2007 11:35:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Same effect as befor.

A question, is this typicall:

ncl0:/proc/sys# cat /proc/user_beancounters

Version: 2.5

uid	resource	held	maxheld	barrier	limit	failcnt
0:	kmemsize	4949907	9474391	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	lockedpages	0	5203	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	privvmpages	3190	14306	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	shmpages	652	668	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	dummy	0	0	9223372036854775807	9223372036854775807	
0						
	numproc	79	140	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	physpages	1667	3628	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	vmguarpages	0	0	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	oomguarpages	1667	3628	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	numtcpsock	2	2	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	numflock	1	6	9223372036854775807	9223372036854775807	
0						
	numpty	1	1	9223372036854775807	9223372036854775807	
0						
	numsiginfo	0	3	9223372036854775807	9223372036854775807	
0						
	tcpsndbuf	43336	43336	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	tcprcvbuf	32768	0	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	othersockbuf	9248	17536	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	dgramrcvbuf	0	8456	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	numothersock	31	37	9223372036854775807		
9223372036854775807		0				
	dcachesize	0	0	9223372036854775807	9223372036854775807	

0			
numfile	1354	2259	9223372036854775807
9223372036854775807	0		
dummy	0	0	9223372036854775807 9223372036854775807
0			
dummy	0	0	9223372036854775807 9223372036854775807
0			
dummy	0	0	9223372036854775807 9223372036854775807
0			
numiptent	10	10	9223372036854775807
9223372036854775807	0		

I wonder about the barrier and limit values they look verry high?

thx
