
Subject: Re: Re: dual ethernet woes

Posted by [Romeo Theriault](#) on Thu, 16 Aug 2007 01:43:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Under Red Hat these routes would be configured for the network interfaces by editing the `/etc/sysconfig/network-scripts/route-eth*` interface. Here is an example of one such file:

```
GATEWAY0=192.168.1.254
NETMASK0=255.255.255.0
ADDRESS0=192.168.55.0
```

```
GATEWAY1=10.164.234.112
NETMASK1= 255.255.255.240
ADDRESS1=10.164.234.132
```

Taken from

<http://www.cyberciti.biz/tips/configuring-static-routes-in-debian-or-red-hat-linux-systems.html>

Hope that helps.

Romeo

On 8/15/07, Steve Hodges <shodges@iinet.net.au> wrote:

>

> On 15/08/2007 11:02 AM, Steve Hodges wrote:

> > On 14/08/2007 7:01 PM, Steve Hodges wrote:

> >> The servers I'm trying to put onto a single hardware node each have 2
> >> ethernet interfaces.

> >>

> >

> > OK, after extensive testing I have narrowed down what I believe to be
> > the cause of the problem. The VE always seems to chose the first IP
> > address it has been configured with as the source for new outgoing
> > connections (I have no idea if it does this for existing connections,
> > but I would suspect not).

> >

>

> After sleeping on it, reading some more, and applying my thinking cap, I
> tried the following:

>

> `ifconfig venet0:0 netmask 255.255.255.0`

> `ifconfig venet0:1 netmask 255.255.255.0`

>

> these default to 255.255.255.255 and in retrospect the behaviour it was
> exhibiting was probably predictable.

>

> And that appears to work with all pings to all hosts now working (I
> haven't checked the routes)
>
> The question is, can I do this automatically, or is it something to put
> in my rc.local for each VE?
>
> Steve

--

Romeo Theriault
System Administrator
University of Maine at Fort Kent
Ph#: 207-834-7815
Em@: romeo.theriault@maine.edu
