Subject: Re: [PATCH] Make access to task's nsproxy liter Posted by Oleg Nesterov on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 14:15:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 08/10, Oleg Nesterov wrote:
> On 08/10, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
> >
> > Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):
> > +/*
>>> + * the namespaces access rules are:
>>>+*
>>> + * 1. only current task is allowed to change tsk->nsproxy pointer or
           any pointer on the nsproxy itself
>>> + *
>>>+*
>>> + * 2. when accessing (i.e. reading) current task's namespaces - no
           precautions should be taken - just dereference the pointers
>>>+ *
>>>+*
>>> + * 3. the access to other task namespaces is performed like this
>>>+ *
           rcu read lock();
           nsproxy = task nsproxy(tsk);
>>>+*
           if (nsproxy != NULL) {
>>>+ *
                 * work with the namespaces here
                 * e.g. get the reference on one of them
>>> + *
             * NULL task nsproxy() means that this task is
             * almost dead (zombie)
             * /
>>>+*
>>>+*
           rcu read unlock();
> >
> > And lastly, I guess that the caller to switch_task_namespaces() has
>> to ensure that new_nsproxy either (1) is the init namespace, (2) is a
>> brand-new namespace to which noone else has a reference, or (3) the
>> caller has to hold a reference to the new nsproxy across the call to
> > switch_task_namespaces().
> >
> > As it happens the current calls fit (1) or (2). Again if we happen to
> > jump into the game of switching a task into another task's nsproxy,
>> we'll need to be mindful of (3) so that new nsproxy can't be tossed into
> > the bin between
> >
>> if (new)
>> get_nsproxy(new);
> 4) Unless tsk == current, get task namespaces(tsk) and get nsproxy(tsk)
   are racy even if done under rcu read lock().
```

(sorry for noise, but I'm afraid I was not clear again...)

This looks OK, we don't do get_nsproxy(not_a_current), but perhaps it is not immediately obvious that we shouldn't.

Oleg.