
Subject: Re: [PATCH 9/15] Move alloc_pid() after the namespace is cloned
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Mon, 30 Jul 2007 06:17:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oleg Nesterov wrote:

> On 07/26, Pavel Emelianov wrote:

>> This is a fix for Sukadev's patch that moved the alloc_pid() call from
>> do_fork() into copy_process().

>

> ... and this patch changes almost every line from Sukadev's patch.

It does. My bad :(I have reviewed Suka's patch badly and was sure it
puts the alloc_pid() right where we need this.

> Sorry gents, but isn't it better to ask Andrew to drop that patch
> (which is quite useless by itself), and send a new one which incorporates
> all necessary changes? Imho, it would be much easier to understand.

Hm... Maybe it's better to ask him to fold these patches together?

```
>> @@ -1406,7 +1422,13 @@ long do_fork(unsigned long clone_flags,
>> if (!IS_ERR(p)) {
>>     struct completion vfork;
>>
>> - nr = pid_nr(task_pid(p));
>> + /*
>> +  * this is enough to call pid_nr_ns here, but this if
>> +  * improves optimisation of regular fork()
>> +  */
>> + nr = (clone_flags & CLONE_NEWPID) ?
>> +   task_pid_nr_ns(p, current->nsproxy->pid_ns) :
>> +   task_pid_vnr(p);
>
> Shouldn't we do the same for CLONE_PARENT_SETTID in copy_process() ?
> Otherwise *parent_tidptr may have a wrong value which doesn't match
> to what fork() returns.
```

Oops. We should. Thanks :)

> Oleg.

Thanks,
Pavel
