Subject: Re: [PATCH 11/15] Signal semantics Posted by Sukadev Bhattiprolu on Fri, 27 Jul 2007 20:23:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Serge E. Hallyn [serue@us.ibm.com] wrote: Quoting sukadev@us.ibm.com (sukadev@us.ibm.com): > Pavel Emelianov [xemul@openvz.org] wrote: > Oleg Nesterov wrote: > >(What about ptrace_attach() btw? If it is possible to send a signal to init > > from the "parent" namespace, perhaps it makes sense to allow ptracing as > > well).
 > > ptracing of tasks fro different namespaces is not possible at all, since > strace utility determines the fork()-ed child pid from the parent's eax > register, which would contain the pid value as this parent sees his child. > But if the strace is in different namespace - it won't be able to find > this child with the pid value from parent's eax. >
> Maybe it's worth disabling cross-namespaces ptracing > haybe it's worth disabling cross-namespaces ptracing > think so too. Its probably not a serious limitation ?
Several people think we will implement 'namespace entering' through a ptrace hack, where maybe the admin ptraces the init in a child pidns, makes it fork, and makes the child execute what it wants (i.e. ps -ef).
। You're talking about killing that functionality?
No. I was only thinking in terms of debugging container init and missed the namespace entering part.
Pavel, I am not sure I understand your comment about being unable to

ptrace() a child ns. BTW, I am able to gdb a process (incl container-init) from parent ns now.

-serge