Subject: Re: [PATCH 9/15] Move alloc_pid() after the namespace is cloned Posted by Oleg Nesterov on Fri, 27 Jul 2007 15:10:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 07/26, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
```

> This is a fix for Sukadev's patch that moved the alloc_pid() call from > do_fork() into copy_process().

... and this patch changes almost every line from Sukadev's patch. Sorry gents, but isn't it better to ask Andrew to drop that patch (which is quite useless by itself), and send a new one which incorporates all necessary changes? Imho, it would be much easier to understand.

```
> @ @ -1406,7 +1422,13 @ @ long do_fork(unsigned long clone_flags,
> if (!IS_ERR(p)) {
> struct completion vfork;
> - nr = pid_nr(task_pid(p));
> + /*
> + * this is enough to call pid nr ns here, but this if
> + * improves optimisation of regular fork()
> + nr = (clone_flags & CLONE_NEWPID) ?
> + task_pid_nr_ns(p, current->nsproxy->pid_ns) :
     task_pid_vnr(p);
```

Shouldn't we do the same for CLONE PARENT SETTID in copy process()? Otherwise *parent tidptr may have a wrong value which doesn't match to what fork() returns.

Oleg.