
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/15] Move exit_task_namespaces()
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Fri, 27 Jul 2007 08:24:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oleg Nesterov wrote:

> On 07/26, Oleg Nesterov wrote:

>> On 07/26, Pavel Emelianov wrote:

>>> Make task release its namespaces after it has reparented all his
>>> children to child_reaper, but before it notifies its parent about
>>> its death.

>>>

>>> The reason to release namespaces after reparenting is that when task
>>> exits it may send a signal to its parent (SIGCHLD), but if the parent
>>> has already exited its namespaces there will be no way to decide what
>>> pid to dever to him - parent can be from different namespace.

>>>

>>> The reason to release namespace before notifying the parent it that
>>> when task sends a SIGCHLD to parent it can call wait() on this task
>>> and release it. But releasing the mnt namespace implies dropping
>>> of all the mounts in the mnt namespace and NFS expects the task to
>>> have valid sighand pointer.

>>>

>>> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelianov <xemul@openvz.org>

>>>

>>> ---

>>>

>>> exit.c | 5 ++++-

>>> 1 files changed, 4 insertions(+), 1 deletion(-)

>>>

>>> diff -upr linux-2.6.23-rc1-mm1.orig/kernel/exit.c

>>> linux-2.6.23-rc1-mm1-7/kernel/exit.c

>>> --- linux-2.6.23-rc1-mm1.orig/kernel/exit.c 2007-07-26

>>> 16:34:45.000000000 +0400

>>> +++ linux-2.6.23-rc1-mm1-7/kernel/exit.c 2007-07-26

>>> 16:36:37.000000000 +0400

>>> @@ -788,6 +804,10 @@ static void exit_notify(struct task_stru

>>> BUG_ON(!list_empty(&tsk->children));

>>> BUG_ON(!list_empty(&tsk->ptrace_children));

>>>

>>> + write_unlock_irq(&tasklist_lock);

>>> + exit_task_namespaces(tsk);

>>> + write_lock_irq(&tasklist_lock);

>> No.

>>

>> We "cleared" our ->children/->ptrace_children lists. Now suppose that
>> another thread dies, and its forget_original_parent() choose us as a
>> new reaper before we re-take tasklist.

>

> Perhaps, we can do something like the patch below. Roland, what do you
> think?
>
> We can check PF_EXITING instead of ->exit_state while choosing the new

Heh :) I've came to the same conclusion and now I'm checking for it.
But my patch is much simpler than yours - it just checks for PF_EXITING
in forget_original_parent:

```
--- ./kernel/exit.c.exitfix 2007-07-27 12:13:25.000000000 +0400
+++ ./kernel/exit.c 2007-07-27 12:15:35.000000000 +0400
@@ -712,7 +712,7 @@ forget_original_parent(struct task_struct
     reaper = task_child_reaper(father);
     break;
 }
- } while (reaper->exit_state);
+ } while (reaper->flags & PF_EXITING);
```

```
/*
 * There are only two places where our children can be:
```

```
> parent. Note that tasklist_lock acts as a barrier, everyone who takes
> tasklist after us (when forget_original_parent() drops it) must see
> PF_EXITING.
>
> Oleg.
>
> --- t/kernel/exit.c~ 2007-07-27 11:32:21.000000000 +0400
> +++ t/kernel/exit.c 2007-07-27 11:59:09.000000000 +0400
> @@ -686,11 +686,14 @@ reparent_thread(struct task_struct *p, s
>  * the child reaper process (ie "init") in our pid
>  * space.
>  */
> -static void
> -forget_original_parent(struct task_struct *father, struct list_head *to_release)
> +static void forget_original_parent(struct task_struct *father)
> {
>     struct task_struct *p, *reaper = father;
>     struct list_head *_p, *_n;
>     struct list_head *ptrace_dead, *_p, *_n;
>     +
>     + INIT_LIST_HEAD(&ptrace_dead);
>     +
>     + write_lock_irq(&tasklist_lock);
>     do {
>         reaper = next_thread(reaper);
>     @@ -698,7 +701,7 @@ forget_original_parent(struct task_struct
```

```

> reaper = child_reaper(father);
> break;
> }
> - } while (reaper->exit_state);
> + } while (reaper->flags & PF_EXITING);
>
> /*
>  * There are only two places where our children can be:
> @@ -736,13 +739,25 @@ forget_original_parent(struct task_struct
>  * while it was being traced by us, to be able to see it in wait4.
>  */
> if (unlikely(ptrace && p->exit_state == EXIT_ZOMBIE && p->exit_signal == -1))
> - list_add(&p->ptrace_list, to_release);
> + list_add(&p->ptrace_list, &ptrace_dead);
> }
> +
> list_for_each_safe(_p, _n, &father->ptrace_children) {
> p = list_entry(_p, struct task_struct, ptrace_list);
> choose_new_parent(p, reaper);
> reparent_thread(p, father, 1);
> }
> +
> + write_unlock_irq(&tasklist_lock);
> + BUG_ON(!list_empty(&tsk->children));
> + BUG_ON(!list_empty(&tsk->ptrace_children));
> +
> + list_for_each_safe(_p, _n, &ptrace_dead) {
> + list_del_init(_p);
> + t = list_entry(_p, struct task_struct, ptrace_list);
> + release_task(t);
> + }
> +
> }
>
> /*
> @@ -753,7 +768,6 @@ static void exit_notify(struct task_struct
> {
> int state;
> struct task_struct *t;
> - struct list_head ptrace_dead, *_p, *_n;
> struct pid *pgrp;
>
> if (signal_pending(tsk) && !(tsk->signal->flags & SIGNAL_GROUP_EXIT))
> @@ -776,8 +790,6 @@ static void exit_notify(struct task_struct
> read_unlock(&tasklist_lock);
> }
>
> - write_lock_irq(&tasklist_lock);

```

```

> -
> /*
>  * This does two things:
>  *
> @@ -786,12 +798,9 @@ static void exit_notify(struct task_stru
>  * as a result of our exiting, and if they have any stopped
>  * jobs, send them a SIGHUP and then a SIGCONT. (POSIX 3.2.2.2)
>  */
> + forget_original_parent(tsk);
>
> - INIT_LIST_HEAD(&ptrace_dead);
> - forget_original_parent(tsk, &ptrace_dead);
> - BUG_ON(!list_empty(&tsk->children));
> - BUG_ON(!list_empty(&tsk->ptrace_children));
> -
> + write_lock_irq(&tasklist_lock);
> /*
>  * Check to see if any process groups have become orphaned
>  * as a result of our exiting, and if they have any stopped
> @@ -801,9 +810,8 @@ static void exit_notify(struct task_stru
>  * and we were the only connection outside, so our pgrp
>  * is about to become orphaned.
>  */
> -
>  t = tsk->real_parent;
> -
> +
>  pgrp = task_pgrp(tsk);
>  if ((task_pgrp(t) != pgrp) &&
>      (task_session(t) == task_session(tsk)) &&
> @@ -826,9 +834,8 @@ static void exit_notify(struct task_stru
>  * If our self_exec id doesn't match our parent_exec_id then
>  * we have changed execution domain as these two values started
>  * the same after a fork.
> - *
>  */
> -
> +
>  if (tsk->exit_signal != SIGCHLD && tsk->exit_signal != -1 &&
>      (tsk->parent_exec_id != t->self_exec_id ||
>       tsk->self_exec_id != tsk->parent_exec_id)
> @@ -856,12 +863,6 @@ static void exit_notify(struct task_stru
>
>  write_unlock_irq(&tasklist_lock);
>
> - list_for_each_safe(_p, _n, &ptrace_dead) {
> - list_del_init(_p);
> - t = list_entry(_p, struct task_struct, ptrace_list);

```

```
> - release_task(t);  
> - }  
> -  
> /* If the process is dead, release it - nobody will wait for it */  
> if (state == EXIT_DEAD)  
>     release_task(tsk);  
>  
>
```
