
Subject: containers development plans (July 20 version)

Posted by [serge](#) on Fri, 20 Jul 2007 17:36:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

(If you missed earlier parts of this thread, you can catch earlier parts of this thread starting at <https://lists.linux-foundation.org/pipermail/containers/2007-July/005860.html>)

===== Section 0 =====
=Status of this document
===== Section 0 =====

I've added a 'use cases' section. That is where we attempt to explain to people not familiar with containers work why it is worth integrating upstream.

Srivatsa Vaddagiri is independently gathering additional information on specific task container subsystems. That will eventually be incorporated into the final version of this roadmap.

===== Section 1 =====
=Introduction
===== Section 1 =====

We are trying to create a roadmap for the next year of 'container' development, to be reported to the upcoming kernel summit. Containers here is a bit of an ambiguous term, so we are taking it to mean all of:

1. namespaces
kernel resource namespaces to support resource isolation and virtualization for virtual servers and application checkpoint/restart.
2. task containers framework
the task containers (or, as Paul Jackson suggests, resource containers) framework by Paul Menage which especially provides a framework for subsystems which perform resource accounting and limits.
3. checkpoint/restart

===== Section 2 =====
=Detailed development plans
===== Section 2 =====

A (still under construction) list of features we expect to be worked on next year looks like this:

1. completion of ongoing namespaces

- pid namespace
 - push merged patchset upstream
 - kthread cleanup
 - especially nfs
 - autofs
 - af_unix credentials (stores pid_t?)

- net namespace
- ro bind mounts

2. continuation with new namespaces

- devpts, console, and ttydrivers
- user
- time
- namespace management tools
- namespace entering (using one of:)
 - bind_ns()
 - ns container subsystem
 - (vs refuse this functionality)

- multiple /sys mounts
 - break /sys into smaller chunks?
 - shadow dirs vs namespaces

- multiple proc mounts
 - likely need to extend on the work done for pid namespaces
 - i.e. other /proc files will need some care

virtualization of statistics for 'top', etc

3. any additional work needed for virtual servers?

- i.e. in-kernel keyring usage for cross-username namespace permissions, etc
- nfs and rpc updates needed?
- general security fixes
 - per-container capabilities?
- device access controls
 - e.g. root in container should not have access to /dev/sda by default)
- filesystems access controls

4. task containers functionality

- base features
 - virtualized containerfs mounts
 - to support vserver mgmnt of sub-containers
 - locking cleanup
 - control file API simplification
 - control file prefixing with subsystem name

userpace RBCE to provide controls for

- users

- groups

- pgrp

- executable

- specific containers
 - split cpusets into
 - cpuset

- memset
- network
 - connect/bind/accept controller using iptables
- network flow id control
- userspace per-container OOM handler
- per-container swap
- per-container disk I/O scheduling

5. checkpoint/restart

- memory c/r
 - (there are a few designs and prototypes)
 - (though this may be ironed out by then)
 - per-container swapfile?
- overall checkpoint strategy (one of:)
 - in-kernel
 - userspace-driven
 - hybrid
- overall restart strategy
 - use freezer API
 - use suspend-to-disk?
- sysvipc
 - "set identifier" syscall
- pid namespace
 - clone_with_pid()

===== Section 3 =====

=Use cases

===== Section 3 =====

1, Namespaces:

The most commonly listed uses for namespaces are virtual servers and checkpoint restart. Other uses are debugging (running tests in not-quite-virtual-servers) and resource isolation, such as the use of mounts namespaces to simulate multi-level directories for LSPP.

2. Task Containers:

(Vatsa to fill in)

3. Checkpoint/restart

load balancing:
applications can be migrated from high-load systems to ones with a lower load. Long-running applications can be checkpointed (or migrated) to start a short-running high-load job, then

restarted.

kernel upgrades:

A long-running application - or whole virtual server - can be migrated or checkpointed so that the system can be rebooted, and the application can continue to run

===== Section 4 =====

=Involved parties

===== Section 4 =====

In the list of stakeholders, I try to guess based on past comments and contributions what *general* area they are most likely to contribute in. I may try to narrow those down later, but am just trying to get something out the door right now before my next computer breaks.

Stakeholders:

- Eric Biederman
 - everything
- google
 - task containers
- ibm (serge, dave, cedric, daniel)
 - namespaces
- checkpoint/restart
- bull (benjamin, pierre)
 - namespaces
- checkpoint/restart
 - ibm (balbir, vatsa)
- task containers
 - kerlabs
 - checkpoint/restart
- openvz
 - everything
- NEC Japan (Masahiko Takahashi)
 - checkpoint/restart
- Linux-VServer
 - namespaces+containers
- zap project
 - checkpoint/restart
- planetlab
 - everything
- hp
 - (i must have lost an email - what are they interested in working on?)
- XtreemOS
 - checkpoint/restart
- Fujitsu/VA Linux Japan

resource control

Is anyone else still missing from the list?

thanks,
-serge
