Subject: Re: containers development plans (July 10 version) Posted by serge on Thu, 12 Jul 2007 18:45:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Quoting Kirill Korotaev (dev@sw.ru):
> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
>> (If you missed earlier parts of this thread, you can catch earlier parts of
> > this thread starting at
>> https://lists.linux-foundation.org/pipermail/containers/2007 -July/005860.html)
> >
>> Thanks for all the recent feedback. I particularly added a lot from Paul
> > Menage and Cedric.
> >
>> We are trying to create a roadmap for the next year of
> > 'container' development, to be reported to the upcoming kernel
>> summit. Containers here is a bit of an ambiguous term, so we are
> > taking it to mean all of:
> >
>> 1. namespaces
             kernel resource namespaces to support resource isolation
             and virtualization for virtual servers and application
> >
             checkpoint/restart.
>> 2. task containers framework
             the task containers (or, as Paul Jackson suggests, resource
> >
             containers) framework by Paul Menage which especially
             provides a framework for subsystems which perform resource
> >
             accounting and limits.
>> 3. checkpoint/restart
> >
>> A (still under construction) list of features we expect to be worked on
> > next year looks like this:
> >
        1. completion of ongoing namespaces
> >
              pid namespace
> >
                  merge two patchsets
> >
                    sukadev@ and Pavel already agreed and will resend it soon
                  clone_with_pid()
> >
                  kthread cleanup
> >
                        especially nfs
                        autofs
> >
                  af unix credentials (stores pid t?)
> >
             net namespace
             ro bind mounts
> >
> IMHO ro bind mounts are not related to namespaces anyhow, but ok if you guys want to
```

mention it.

Hmm, yes it's more for the "userspace containers" - meaning the

userspace usage of namespaces. But I'm not sure it's worth breaking that out.

```
> >
              sysvipc
                   "set identifier" syscall
> >
> the last one is related to checkpointing, so plz move it from here...
It started under checkpointing, but I'll move it back:)
        2. continuation with new namespaces
> >
              devpts, console, and ttydrivers
> >
              user
> >
              time
> >
              namespace management tools
> >
              namespace entering (using one of:)
> >
                   bind ns()
> >
                   ns container subsystem
> >
                   (vs refuse this functionality)
> >
              multiple /sys mounts
> >
                   break /sys into smaller chunks?
> >
                   shadow dirs vs namespaces
> >
              multiple proc mounts
> >
                   likely need to extend on the work done for pid namespaces
> >
                   i.e. other /proc files will need some care
> >
>
> different statistics virtualization here in /proc for top and other tools
         3. any additional work needed for virtual servers?
> >
              i.e. in-kernel keyring usage for cross-usernamespace permissions, etc.
> >
                   nfs and rpc updates needed?
> >
                   general security fixes
> >
```

I think it means "we haven't thought it through enough":)

> what is meant by "general security fixes"?

For instance, something needs to be done to be able to hand partial capabilities to admins in a container/virtual server. We've talked about doing this using the in-kernel keyring, but we are far from consensus or patches, and this will have to be solved.

- > what I see additionaly:
- > device access controls (e.g. root in container should not have access to /dev/sda by default)

Yes, that kind of falls under the above, but I'll add it separately.

> - filesystems access controls

ditto.

```
4. task containers functionality
> >
              base features
> >
                   virtualized continerfs mounts
> >
                        to support vserver mgmnt of sub-containers
> >
                   locking cleanup
> >
                   control file API simplification
> >
                   control file prefixing with subsystem name
              specific containers
> >
                   usespace RBCE to provide controls for
> >
                        users
                        groups
> >
                        pgrp
> >
                        executable
> >
                   split cpusets into
                        cpuset
> >
                        memset
                   network
                        connect/bind/accept controller using iptables
> >
                   network flow id control
> >
                   userspace per-container OOM handler
> >
> I don't see much about resource management here at all.
> We need resource controls for a lot of stuff like
> - RSS
> - kernel memory and different parameters like number of tasks
> - disk quota
> - disk I/O
> - CPU fairness
> - CPU limiting
> - container aware OOM
> imho it is all related and should be discussed.
>
         5. checkpoint/restart
> >
              memory c/r
> >
                   (there are a few designs and prototypes)
                   (though this may be ironed out by then)
> >
                   per-container swapfile?
> >
              overall checkpoint strategy (one of:)
                   in-kernel
> >
                   userspace-driven
> >
                   hybrid
              overall restart strategy
> >
              use freezer API
> >
              use suspend-to-disk?
> >
```

```
> >
>> In the list of stakeholders, I try to guess based on past comments and
> > contributions what *general* area they are most likely to contribute in.
>> I may try to narrow those down later, but am just trying to get something
> > out the door right now before my next computer breaks.
> >
> > Stakeholders:
        Eric Biederman
> >
              everything
> >
        google
> >
              containers
> >
        ibm
> >
              everything
> >
        kerlabs
> >
              checkpoint/restart
> >
> >
        openvz
              everything
        osdl (Masahiko Takahashi?)
> >
              checkpoint/restart
> >
        Linux-VServer
> >
              namespaces+containers
> >
        zap project
> >
              checkpoint/restart
> >
        planetlab
> >
              everything
> >
> >
        hp
> >
        XtreemOS
> >
              checkpoint/restart
> >
> > Is anyone else still missing from the list?
> >
> > thanks,
> > -serge
> >
thanks Kirill,
-serge
```