Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] containers development plans (July 10 version) Posted by serge on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 15:00:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quoting Paul Menage (menage@google.com):

> On 7/11/07, Takenori Nagano <t-nagano@ah.jp.nec.com> wrote:

> >Hi,

>>

- > >I think Balbir's idea is very simple and reasonable way to develop per
- > >container
- > >swapping. Because kernel needs the information that a target page belongs

> >to

> >which container. Fortunately, we already had page based memory management

> >system

- > >which included in RSS controller. I think it is appropriate that we
- > develop per
- > >container swapping on page based memory management system.

> >

>

> So what about people who want to do per-container swapping without

> using a page-based memory controller?

Hmm, yes, I'm a few steps behind, but will what Balbir is suggesting prevent me from just using a per-container swapfile for checkpointing an application? (where the checkpoint consists of kicking out the dirty pages to swap and making a new copy-on-write version of the swapfile)

thanks, -serge

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum