
Subject: Re: The issues for agreeing on a virtualization/namespaces implementation.

Posted by [serue](#) on Wed, 08 Feb 2006 18:03:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Hubertus Franke (frankeh@watson.ibm.com):

- > IMHO, there is only a need to refer to a namespace from the global context.
- > Since one will be moving into a new container, but getting out of one
- > could be prohibitive (e.g. after migration)
- > It does not make sense therefore to know the name of a namespace in
- > a different container.

Not sure I agree. What if we are using a private namespace for a vserver, and then we want to create a private namespace in there for a mobile application. Since we're talking about nested namespaces, this should be possible.

Now I believe Eric's code so far would make it so that you can only refer to a namespace from it's *creating* context. Still restrictive, but seems acceptable.

(right?)

-serge
