

---

Subject: Re: CPUUNITS (yeah...yet another question...\*SIGH\*)  
Posted by [Michael Portz](#) on Mon, 02 Jul 2007 18:28:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ah, ok!

Thanks for the quick answer :)  
Michael

Kirill Korotaev schrieb:

> No, 100 is absolutely the same as 1000 in this regard.  
> CPUUNITS control only how VEs fight for the CPU time and  
> doesn't affect latency of the reaction which is controled  
> by HZ and some of sysctls in /proc/sys/kernel and is \*bounded\*.

>  
> Thanks,  
> Kirill

>  
>  
> Michael Portz wrote:

>> Hi!

>>  
>> Everywhere it is stressed, that CPUUNITS is only relevant for the  
>> relative amount of time a VE has control of the resources. I am  
>> looking for a quite different answer: Does it have an absolute  
>> meaning as well?

>>  
>> E.g. if VE0's CPUUNITS=1000, VE1's CPUUNITS=1000 and VE2's CPUUNITS=1000  
>> (and these are \*all\* VEs), then they all get the same share of processing  
>> time. The same holds for CPUUNITS=100 for all VEs. But is there any  
>> difference for the values 100 and 1000 respectively?

>>  
>> Does e.g CPUUNITS=100 mean, a VE is swapped out after 100 \* c timeunits  
>> for a constant c? Specifically: Does decreasing the values of CPUUNITS  
>> decrease the reaction time of VEs towards interrupts etc.?

>>  
>> Thanks  
>> Michael

>>  
>>

--  
accom GmbH & Co. KG

52070 Aachen

Tel: +49 241 918 5228  
Fax: +49 241 918 5299

---