rickb wrote on Fri, 29 June 2007 11:33Hi, I think the first error is using Fedora in a server environment. Fedora is a very fast moving distro which requires constant updates or reinstalls to stay supported. This outlook doesn't align itself very well with a server, where you want massive uptime and proven software versioning. The bottom line is that the fedora kernel team works on later versions then the openvz team- this has been the case for a long time. I wouldn't say its particularly bad, as the very latest versions of 2.6 are rather beta and a proving ground.

...

Drop back to centos 4 or 5 and a lot of the woes will disappear. I operate over 200 openvz servers now, all on centos 4 base OS with great success.

A lot of this has to do with what type of philosophy you want to follow. I like having support for all new hardware. I prefer to deal with the other problems. I understand that most people view it the other way round. Anyway, CentOS 4 makes no sense, it's EOL. CentOS 5 does, it's basically just FC6. But I would prefer to use Fedora as I like working with all the advancements. I provide a lot of feedback in bugzilla and I think Fedora relies on folks like me to see how things are performing under real conditions.

There was some discussion I saw on one of the Fedora forums about maybe Fedora supporting OpenVZ. What does this mean? Did it mean that the OpenVZ kernel changes would make it into the Fedora kernels?