
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/4] Virtualization/containers: introduction

Posted by [Sam Vilain](#) on Wed, 08 Feb 2006 01:16:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kevin Fox wrote:

>>>The container is just an umbrella object that ties every "virtualized"

>>>subsystem together.

>>I like this description; it matches roughly with the concepts as

>>presented by vserver; there is the process virtualisation (vx_info), and

>>the network virtualisation (nx_info) of Eric's that has been integrated

>>to the vserver 2.1.x development branch. However the vx_info has become

>>the de facto umbrella object space as well. These could almost

>>certainly be split out without too much pain or incurring major

>>rethinks.

> How does all of this tie in with CPU Sets? It seems to me, they have

> something not unlike a container already that supports nesting.

Yes, I saw that. AIUI that's mainly about binding groups of processes to CPUs, to defeat Amdahl's law when it rears its head. It fits into the containers model as a hard partitioning feature, but is a lot more crude than the CPU Token Bucket scheduler in Linux-VServer.

No doubt both CPU allocation strategies will be useful.

Sam.
