

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 00/10] Containers(V10): Generic Process Containers  
Posted by [serue](#) on Mon, 04 Jun 2007 20:41:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting Paul Menage (menage@google.com):

> On 6/4/07, Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> wrote:

> >

> >Yup - early in the life of cpusets, a created cpuset inherited the cpus

> >and mems of its parent. But that broke the exclusive property big

> >time. You will recall that a cpu\_exclusive or mem\_exclusive cpuset

> >cannot overlap the cpus or memory, respectively, of any of its sibling

> >cpusets.

> >

>

> Maybe we could make it a per-cpuset option whether children should

> inherit mems/cpus or not?

The values can be changed after the cpuset is populated, right? So really these are just defaults? Would it then make sense to just default to (parent\_set - sibling\_exclusive\_set) for a new sibling's value?

An option is fine with me, but without such an option at all, cpusets could not be applied to namespaces...

thanks,  
-serge

---