Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/13] Small preparations for namespaces Posted by Pavel Emelianov on Fri, 25 May 2007 13:21:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
> Quoting Pavel Emelianov (xemul@openvz.org):
>> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
>>> Quoting Pavel Emelianov (xemul@openvz.org):
>>>> This includes #ifdefs in get/put pid ns and rewriting
>>>> the child reaper() function to the more logical view.
>>>>
>>>> This doesn't fit logically into any other patch so
>>>> I decided to make it separate.
>>>>
>>> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelianov < xemul@openvz.org>
>>>>
>>>> ---
>>>>
>>>> diff --qit a/include/linux/pid namespace.h b/include/linux/pid namespace.h
>>> index 169c6c2..7af7191 100644
>>> --- a/include/linux/pid namespace.h
>>>> +++ b/include/linux/pid namespace.h
>>>> @ @ -26,7 +26,9 @ @ extern struct pid_namespace init_pid_ns;
>>>>
>>> static inline void get_pid_ns(struct pid_namespace *ns)
>>>> {
>>> +#ifdef CONFIG_PID_NS
>>> kref_get(&ns->kref);
>>>> +#endif
>>>> }
>>>>
>>> extern struct pid_namespace *copy_pid_ns(int flags, struct pid_namespace *ns);
>>>> @ @ -34,12 +36,15 @ @ extern void free_pid_ns(struct kref *kre
>>>>
>>>> static inline void put_pid_ns(struct pid_namespace *ns)
>>>> {
>>>> +#ifdef CONFIG PID NS
>>>> kref put(&ns->kref, free pid ns);
>>>> +#endif
>>>> }
>>>>
>>> static inline struct task_struct *child_reaper(struct task_struct *tsk)
>>>> {
>>> - return init_pid_ns.child_reaper;
>>> + BUG_ON(tsk != current);
>>> + return tsk->nsproxy->pid_ns->child_reaper;
>>>> }
>>>>
```

```
>>> #endif /* _LINUX_PID_NS_H */
>>> This can't be bisect-safe, right? You can't just use
>>> tsk->nsproxy->pid_ns, as you've pointed out yourself.
>> I can :) See - I have a proving BUG_ON() here.
>
> I didn't know BUG_ON()'s actually warded off bugs :)
```

It does not, but it says to code reader that this call expects something special. In this case - tsk is expected to be current always. And it is.

> You've tested this with the infamous NFS testcase?

What testcase do you mean?

- > I don't see *why* it would work for you, but if you claim it does, I
- > guess you'd know better than I:)

I don't get you here. I've checked that the task passed to child_reaper is current always. This BUG_ON prevents later code from passing arbitrary task to it.

> -serge >