
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/4] Virtualization/containers: introduction
Posted by [Dave Hansen](#) on Mon, 06 Feb 2006 23:00:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 2006-02-07 at 00:57 +0300, Kirill Korotaev wrote:

```
> @@ -1132,6 +1133,7 @@ static task_t *copy_process(unsigned lon  
>     p->ioprio = current->ioprio;  
>  
>     SET_LINKS(p);  
> +     (void)get_container(p->container);  
>     if (unlikely(p->ptrace & PT_PTRACED))  
>         __ptrace_link(p, current->parent);
```

This entire patch looks nice and very straightforward, except for this bit. :) The "(void)" bit isn't usual kernel coding style. You can probably kill it.

BTW, why does `get_container()` return the container argument? `get_task_struct()`, for instance is just a `do{}while(0)` loop, so it doesn't have a return value. Is there some magic later on in your patch set that utilizes this?

One other really minor thing: I usually try to do is keep the !
CONFIG_FOO functions static inlines, just like the full versions. The advantage is that you get some compile-time type checking, even when your CONFIG option is off.

-- Dave
