
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/13] Round up the API
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 16:22:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

> Quoting Pavel Emelianov (xemul@openvz.org):
>> The set of functions process_session, task_session, process_group
>> and task_pgrp is confusing, as the names can be mixed with each other
>> when looking at the code for a long time.
>>
>> The proposals are to
>> * equip the functions that return the integer with _nr suffix to
>> represent that fact,
>> * and to make all functions work with task (not process) by making
>> the common prefix of the same name.
>>
>> For monotony the routines signal_session() and set_signal_session()
>> are replaced with task_session_nr() and set_task_session(), especially
>> since they are only used with the explicit task->signal dereference.
>>
>> I've sent this before, but Andrew didn't include it, so I resend it
>> as the part of this set.
>>
>> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelianov <xemul@openvz.org>
>> Aacked-by: Serge E. Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>
>
> Yup, I still like this patch.

I'm borderline. Less error prone interfaces sound good, less duplication of information sounds good. Changing the names of historical function may be change for the sake of change and thus noise.

However if we are going to go this far I think we need to remove the numeric pid cache from the task_struct.

Eric
