

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH netdev] "wrong timeout value" in sk\_wait\_data()

Posted by [Eric Dumazet](#) on Thu, 24 May 2007 04:36:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Vasily Averin a écrit :

```
> sys_setsockopt() do not check properly timeout values for
> SO_RCVTIMEO/SO_SNDBTIMEO, for example it's possible to set negative timeout
> values. POSIX do not defines behaviour for sys_setsockopt in case negative
> timeouts, but requires that setsockopt() shall fail with -EDOM if the send and
> receive timeout values are too big to fit into the timeout fields in the socket
> structure.
> In current implementation negative timeout can lead to error messages like
> "schedule_timeout: wrong timeout value".
>
> Proposed patch:
> - checks tv_usec and returns -EDOM if it is wrong
> - do not allows to set negative timeout values (sets 0 instead) and outputs
> ratelimited information message about such attempts.
>
> Signed-Off-By: Vasily Averin <vvs@sw.ru>
>
> diff --git a/net/core/sock.c b/net/core/sock.c
> index 22183c2..27d7a46 100644
> --- a/net/core/sock.c
> +++ b/net/core/sock.c
> @@ -206,7 +206,19 @@ static int sock_set_timeout(long *timeo_p, char __user
> *optval, int optlen)
>     return -EINVAL;
>     if (copy_from_user(&tv, optval, sizeof(tv)))
>         return -EFAULT;
> -
> + if (tv.tv_usec < 0 || tv.tv_usec >= 1000000)
> +     return -EDOM;
```

Please use USEC\_PER\_SEC instead of 1000000

```
> +
> + if (tv.tv_sec < 0) {
> +     static int warned = 0;
> +     *timeo_p = 0;
> +     if (warned < 10 && net_ratelimit())
> +         warned++;
> +     printk(KERN_INFO "sock_set_timeout: `%s' (pid %d) "
> +         "tries to set negative timeout\n",
> +         current->comm, current->pid);
> +     return 0;
> + }
> + *timeo_p = MAX_SCHEDULE_TIMEOUT;
```

```
> if (tv.tv_sec == 0 && tv.tv_usec == 0)
>   return 0;
>
```

---