Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 3/9] Containers (V9): Add tasks file interface Posted by Balbir Singh on Fri, 11 May 2007 02:31:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Paul Menage wrote:

- > On 5/8/07, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:
- >>
- >> I now have a use case for maintaining a per-container task list.
- >> I am trying to build a per-container stats similar to taskstats.
- >> I intend to support container accounting of
- >>
- >> 1. Tasks running
- >> 2. Tasks stopped
- >> 3. Tasks un-interruptible
- >> 4. Tasks blocked on IO
- >> 5. Tasks sleeping
- >> This would provide statistics similar to the patch that Pavel had sent
- >> out.
- >>
- >> I faced the following problems while trying to implement this feature
- >> 1. There is no easy way to get a list of all tasks belonging to a
- >> container
- (we need to walk all threads)
- >
- > Well, walking the taks list is pretty easy but yes, it could become
- > inefficient when there are many small containers in use.
- >
- > I've got some ideas for a way of tracking this specifically for
- > containers with subsystems that want this, while avoiding the overhead
- > for subsystems that don't really need it. I'll try to add them to the
- > next patchset.

Super!

- >> 2. There is no concept of a container identifier. When a user issues a
- >> command
- to extract statistics, the only unique container identifier is the
- >> container
- path, which means that we need to do a path lookup to determine the
- >> dentry
- for the container (which gets quite ugly with all the string
- >> manipulation)
- > We could just cache the container path permanently in the container,
- > and invalidate it if any of its parents gets renamed. (I imagine this

> happens almost never.)

Here's what I have so far, I cache the mount point of the container and add the container path to it. I'm now stuck examining tasks, while walking through a bunch of tasks, there is no easy way of knowing the container path of the task without walking all subsystems and then extracting the containers absolute path.

>>

- >> Adding a container id, will make it easier to find a container and >> return
- >> statistics belonging to the container.

>

> Not unreasonable, but there are a few questions that would have to be > answered:

>

> - how is the container id picked? Like a pid, or user-defined? Or some > kind of string?

>

I was planning on using a hierarchical scheme, top 8 bits for the container hierarchy and bottom 24 for a unique id. The id is automatically selected. Once we know the container id, we'll need a more efficient mechanism to map the id to the container.

- how would it be exposed to userspace? A generic control file> provided by the container filesystem in all container directories?

A file in all container directories is an option

- > can you give a more concrete example of how this would actually be
- > useful? For your container stats, it seems that just reading a control
- > file in the container's directory would give you the stats that you
- > want, and userspace already knows the container's name/id since it
- > opened the control file.

>

Sure, the plan is to build a containerstats interface like taskstats. In taskstats, we exchange data between user space and kernel space using genetlink sockets. We have a push and pull mechanism for statistics.

> Paul

--

Warm Regards, Balbir Singh Linux Technology Center IBM, ISTL