

---

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 1/5] Virtualization/containers: startup

Posted by [dev](#) on Sun, 05 Feb 2006 14:52:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>> Do you have any other ideas/comments on this?

>> I will send additional IPC/filesystems virtualization patches a bit later.

>

> I think that a patch like this - particularly just the 1/5 part - makes

> total sense, because regardless of any other details of virtualization,

> every single scheme is going to need this.

>

> So I think at least 1/5 (and quite frankly, 2-3/5 look that way too) are

> things that we can (and probably should) merge quickly, so that people can

> then actually look at the differences in the places that they may actually

> disagree about.

Can we merge also proc/sysfs/network/netfilters virtualization?

> In other words, I personally would have called them "container" or

> something similar, rather than "vps\_info". See? From a logical

> implementation standpoint, the fact that it is right now most commonly

> used for VPS hosting is totally irrelevant to the code, no?

>

> (And hey, maybe your "vps" means something different. In which case my

> argument makes even more sense ;)

virtual private sandbox :)

Actually, we call them "virtual environments" (VE) in OpenVZ. It is more than abstract and have a nice brief name. If this suits you - I will be happy to commit patches as is :)

other variants:

virtual context (vc, vctx),

virtual containers (vc).

I personally don't like "container", since it is too long and I see no good abbreviations for this...

Kirill

---