Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 1/5] Virtualization/containers: startup Posted by Hubertus Franke on Fri, 03 Feb 2006 20:34:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Greg KH wrote:
```

```
> On Fri, Feb 03, 2006 at 10:34:01AM -0800, Dave Hansen wrote:
>>Lastly, is this a place for krefs? I don't see a real need for a
>>destructor yet, but the idea is fresh in my mind.
>
> Well, what happens when you drop the last reference to this container?
> Right now, your patch doesn't cause anything to happen, and if that's
> acceptable, then fine, you don't need to use a struct kref.
> But if not, then why have a reference count at all? :)
> thanks,
> greg k-h
```

Greg ...

>

In our pid virtualization patches we removed the object automatically.

I presume that Kirill does the same although the code for that is not released.

I am working on converting our pid stuff so to follow the recommendation of Linux/Alan to just do <container,pid> isolation through pidspaces.

I could release an allocation/ before hand. Based on Kirill's 1/5 patch adoption (which took Linus's & Dave's naming comments into account)

How do we want to create the container?
In our patch we did it through a /proc/container filesystem.
Which created the container object and then on fork/exec switched over.

How about an additional sys_exec_container(exec_args + "container_name"). This does all the work like exec, but creates new container with name "..." and attaches task to new container.

If name exists, an error -EEXIST will be raised!

-- Hubertus