

---

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 1/5] Virtualization/containers: startup  
Posted by [Hubertus Franke](#) on Fri, 03 Feb 2006 19:18:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dave Hansen wrote:

> On Fri, 2006-02-03 at 09:49 -0800, Linus Torvalds wrote:  
>  
>>One thing I don't particularly like is some of the naming. To me "vps"  
>>doesn't sound particularly generic or logical. I realize that it probably  
>>makes perfect sense to you (and I assume it just means "virtual private  
>>servers"), but especially if you see patches 1-3 to really be independent  
>>of any "actual" virtualization code that is totally generic, I'd actually  
>>prefer a less specialized name.  
>  
>  
> I just did a global s/vps/container/ and it looks pretty reasonable, at  
> least from my point of view.  
>  
> Couple of minor naming nitpick questions, though. Is vps/container\_info  
> really what we want to call it? It seems to me to be the basis for a  
> real "container", without the \_info part.  
>  
> "tsk->owner\_container" That makes it sound like a pointer to the "task  
> owner's container". How about "owning\_container"? The "container  
> owning this task". Or, maybe just "container"?  
>  
> Any particular reason for the "u32 id" in the vps\_info struct as opposed  
> to one of the more generic types? Do we want to abstract this one in  
> the same way we do pid\_t?  
>  
> The "host" in "host\_container\_info" doesn't mean much to me. Though, I  
> guess it has some context in the UML space. Would "init\_container\_info"  
> or "root\_container\_info" be more descriptive?  
>  
> Lastly, is this a place for krefs? I don't see a real need for a  
> destructor yet, but the idea is fresh in my mind.  
>  
> How does the attached patch look?  
>

Looks good to me ...

Similar to parts of our patch set, so overlap is good that means on large parts we agree.

Let's go with Dave's adaption, since it already addresses some of Linus's concerns and I start moving the pid isolation (in contrast to pid virtualization) over this.

-- Hubertus

---