Subject: Re: [patch 05/10] add "permit user mounts in new namespace" clone flag

Posted by Miklos Szeredi on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 19:14:21 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> As I said earlier, I see a case where two mounts that are peers of each
> other can become un-identical if we dont propagate the "allowusermnt".

> As a practical example.

>

> /tmp and /mnt are peers of each other.

> /tmp has its "allowusermnt" flag set, which has not been propagated > to /mnt.

>

> now a normal-user mounts an ext2 file system under /tmp at /tmp/1

>

> unfortunately the mount wont appear under /mnt/1

Argh, that is not true. That's what I've been trying to explain to you all along.

The propagation will be done _regardless_ of the flag. The flag is only checked for the parent of the _requested_ mount. If it is allowed there, the mount, including any propagations are allowed. If it's denied, then obviously it's denied everywhere.

> and in case if you allow the mount to appear under /mnt/1, you will

> break unpriviledge mounts semantics which promises: a normal user will

> not be able to mount at a location that does not allow user-mounts.

No, it does not promise that. The flag just promises, that the user cannot _request_ a mount on the parent mount.

Miklos

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum