

---

Subject: Re: [NETLINK] Don't attach callback to a going-away netlink socket  
Posted by [xemul](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 08:59:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Evgeniy Polyakov wrote:

> On Wed, Apr 18, 2007 at 12:32:40PM +0400, Pavel Emelianov (xemul@sw.ru) wrote:

>> Evgeniy Polyakov wrote:

>>> On Wed, Apr 18, 2007 at 12:16:18PM +0400, Pavel Emelianov (xemul@sw.ru) wrote:

>>>> Sorry, I forgot to put netdev and David in Cc when I first sent it.

>>>>

>>>> There is a race between netlink\_dump\_start() and netlink\_release()

>>>> that can lead to the situation when a netlink socket with non-zero

>>>> callback is freed.

>>> Out of curiosity, why not to fix a netlink\_dump\_start() to remove

>>> callback in error path, since in 'no-error' path it removes it in

>> Error path is not relevant here. The problem is that we

>> keep a callback on a socket that is about to be freed.

>

> Yes, you are right, that it will not be freed in netlink\_release(),

> but it will be freed in netlink\_dump() after it is processed (in no-error

> path only though).

>

But error path will leak it. On success path we would have  
a leaked packet in sk\_write\_queue, since we didn't see it in  
skb\_queue\_purge() while doing netlink\_release().

Of course we can place the struts in code to handle the case  
when we have a released socket with the attached callback, but  
it is more correct (IMHO) not to allow to attach the callbacks  
to dead sockets.

---