Subject: Re: [NETLINK] Don't attach callback to a going-away netlink socket Posted by Patrick McHardy on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 08:50:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Evgeniy Polyakov wrote:

- > On Wed, Apr 18, 2007 at 10:26:31AM +0200, Patrick McHardy (kaber@trash.net) wrote:
- >>>Out of curiosity, why not to fix a netlink_dump_start() to remove
- >>>callback in error path, since in 'no-error' path it removes it in >>>netlink dump().
- >>
- >>
- >>It already does (netlink_destroy_callback), but that doesn't help >>with this race though since without this patch we don't enter the >>error path.
- >
- > I thought that with releasing a socket, which will have a callback
- > attached only results in a leak of the callback? In that case we can
- > just free it in dump() just like it is done in no-error path already.
- > Or do I miss something additional?

That would only work if there is nothing to dump (cb->dump returns 0). Otherwise it is not freed.

- >>The problem is asynchronous processing of the dump request in the >>context of a different process. Process requests a dump, message >>is queued and process returns from sendmsg since some other process >>is already processing the queue. Then the process closes the socket, >>resulting in netlink_release being called. When the dump request >>is finally processed the race Pavel described might happen. This >>can only happen for netlink families that use mutex_try_lock for >>queue processing of course.
- > >
- > Doesn't it called from ->sk_data_ready() which is synchronous with
- > respect to sendmsg, not sure about countrack though, but it looks so?

Yes, but for kernel sockets we end up calling the input function, which when mutex_trylock is used returns immediately when some other process is already processing the queue, so the requesting process might close the socket before the request is processed.