

---

Subject: Re: [NETLINK] Don't attach callback to a going-away netlink socket  
Posted by [Evgeniy Polyakov](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 08:42:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, Apr 18, 2007 at 10:26:31AM +0200, Patrick McHardy (kaber@trash.net) wrote:  
> Evgeniy Polyakov wrote:  
> > On Wed, Apr 18, 2007 at 12:16:18PM +0400, Pavel Emelianov (xemul@sw.ru) wrote:  
> >  
> >>Sorry, I forgot to put netdev and David in Cc when I first sent it.  
> >>  
> >>There is a race between netlink\_dump\_start() and netlink\_release()  
> >>that can lead to the situation when a netlink socket with non-zero  
> >>callback is freed.  
> >  
> >  
> > Out of curiosity, why not to fix a netlink\_dump\_start() to remove  
> > callback in error path, since in 'no-error' path it removes it in  
> > netlink\_dump().  
>  
>  
> It already does (netlink\_destroy\_callback), but that doesn't help  
> with this race though since without this patch we don't enter the  
> error path.

I thought that with releasing a socket, which will have a callback attached only results in a leak of the callback? In that case we can just free it in dump() just like it is done in no-error path already. Or do I miss something additional?

> > And, btw, can release method be called while socket is being used, I  
> > thought about proper reference counters should prevent this, but not  
> > 100% sure with RCU dereferencing of the descriptor.  
>  
>  
> The problem is asynchronous processing of the dump request in the  
> context of a different process. Process requests a dump, message  
> is queued and process returns from sendmsg since some other process  
> is already processing the queue. Then the process closes the socket,  
> resulting in netlink\_release being called. When the dump request  
> is finally processed the race Pavel described might happen. This  
> can only happen for netlink families that use mutex\_try\_lock for  
> queue processing of course.

Doesn't it called from ->sk\_data\_ready() which is synchronous with respect to sendmsg, not sure about contrack though, but it looks so?

--  
Evgeniy Polyakov

---