Subject: Re: [PATCH] Set a separate lockdep class for neighbour table's proxy_queue

Posted by davem on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 19:46:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

From: Pavel Emelianov <xemul@sw.ru> Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2007 16:08:25 +0400 > Otherwise the following calltrace will lead to a wrong > lockdep warning: > neigh_proxy_process() `- lock(neigh_table->proxy_queue.lock); > > arp_redo /* via tbl->proxy_redo */ > arp_process > neigh_event_ns > neigh_update > skb_queue_purge `- lock(neighbor->arp queue.lock); > This is not a deadlock actually, as neighbor table's proxy_queue > and the neighbor's arp gueue are different gueues. > Lockdep thinks there is a deadlock as both queues are initialized

Patch applied, thank you.

Please provide a proper "Signed-off-by: " line in future patch submissions or else I will ignore your patches entirely.

> with skb_queue_head_init() and thus have a common class.

Thanks!