## Subject: Re: openvz and SuSE

Posted by Daniel Bauer on Fri, 03 Feb 2006 12:38:59 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
From: "Mishin Dmitry" <dim@sw.ru>
> On Friday 03 February 2006 13:40, Daniel Bauer wrote:
>> From: "Mishin Dmitry" <dim@sw.ru>
>>
>> >> I've three nics, but only one venet0.
>> >> i.e. eth0 is connected to intranet for use of VPS
>> >>
          (10.x.x.x)
          eth1 is connected to internet for use of VPS
>> >>
          (20.x.x.x)
>> >>
          eth2 s connected to intranet only for use of the Host
>> >>
          (30.x.x.x)
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> I'd like to use:
        VPS1: intranet (eth0)
        VPS2: internet (eth1)
>> >>
>> >>
        VPS3: intranet (eth0) + internet (eth1)
>> >>
>> >> On the host (eth2) I don't want to have an IP of the intranet or
>> >> internet, the nics should be used for the VPS.
>> >> As I understand it's not possible to share a real nic with Host
>> >> and
>> >> diff. VPS, so howto setup this configuration?
>> > You should assign IP from intranet to VPS1, IP for internet to VPS2
>> > and both
>> > such IPs to VPS3.
>>
>> Sorry that doesn't work here if you don't have a IP on the host.
>> i.e.
     Host:
>>
        eth0 10.1.0.1/255.255.255.0
>>
        eth1 10.2.0.1/255.255.255.0*
>>
>>
      VPS1:
>>
        venet0:0 10.3.0.2/255.255.255.255
>>
>>
      VPS2:
>>
        venet0:0 10.4.0.3/255.255.255.255*
>>
>>
      VPS3:
>>
        venet0:0 10.3.0.4/255.255.255.255
>>
        venet0:1 10.4.0.4/255.255.255.255*
>>
>>
```

- >> \* not used in this test
- >>
- >> a Client with IP 10.1.0.100 could ping the host
- >> a Client with IP 10.3.0.100 could ping nothing
- >>
- >> If I set the host to 10.3.0.1 then I could ping the Host, VPS1 and
- >> VPS3.
- >> So it seems to me that is necessary to have an IP on the host from
- >> the
- >> same subnet.
- > You are right.
- >>
- >> I see that when I start the VPS a new route for each IP, maybe this
- >> is
- >> the problem, is it not better to bridge the adapters between Host and
- >> VPS?
- > venet works on IP level, not Ethernet. So, bridging is impossible for
- > now.

is it possible to take another way to work on Ethernet level, because I don't want a official IP for the host.

- 1. security
- 2. no need for
- 3. one official IP less for each block

## Daniel