Subject: Re: [patch 05/10] add "permit user mounts in new namespace" clone flag

Posted by Miklos Szeredi on Mon, 16 Apr 2007 09:56:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- >> Also for bind-mount and remount operations the flag has to be propagated
- >>> down its propagation tree. Otherwise a unpriviledged mount in a shared
- >>> mount wont get reflected in its peers and slaves, leading to unidentical
- >> shared-subtrees.

> >

- >> That's an interesting question. Do we want shared mounts to be
- > > totally identical, including mnt flags? It doesn't look as if
- > > do_remount() guarantees that currently.

>

- > Depends on the semantics of each of the flags. Some flags like of the
- > read/write flag, would not interfere with the propagation semantics
- > AFAICT. But this one certainly seems to interfere.

That depends. Current patches check the "unprivileged submounts allowed under this mount" flag only on the requested mount and not on the propagated mounts. Do you see a problem with this?

Miklos