Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/8] Add container pointer on struct page Posted by xemul on Fri, 13 Apr 2007 14:48:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Jean-Pierre Dion wrote:

- > Hi Pavel,
- >
- > I have been implied in the work for the
- > memory controller of res groups a few months ago.

>

- > I see that you propose to modify the struct
- > page to point to rss container struct.
- > This has made some debate because of the struct
- > page size increase, but this allows a quicker
- > scan to reclaim pages (I mean having per-container
- > lists of active/inactive pages).
- > We (here at Bull and others) proposed this implementation
- > for res groups and I am interested in knowing
- > if this has a chance of being accepted today (hope so).

So do I:) I'm not the one who makes the final decision;)

- > I know this uses memory for internal management
- > and increases a lot the memory size used for
- > a large memory configuration, but in that case
- > we have lot of memory, so where is the issue?
- > We tested this on a 28 GB server and it worked.

Thank you for additional testing on enterprise servers! Hope this will be a good argument in favour of the patches.

- > Also we can use larger page size to reduce
- > the overhead, and I believe this makes sense
- > on large servers with big memory.

>

- > So we balance between using more memory internally
- > and so getting faster access to pages for reclaim,
- > or do nothing. ;-)

That's right. I made some small testing which showed that moving this pointer in a mirrored array saves less than 0.1% of performance on 4CPU i386 node. I don't know how this will be on enterprise hardware, but I do believe that the results will be the same (or even better).

>

> jean-pierre

>

```
>
```

- > To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in
- > the body of a message to majordomo@vger.kernel.org
- > More majordomo info at http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html
- > Please read the FAQ at http://www.tux.org/lkml/

>